



Evaluation Responses for the North West London Strategic Health Authority Patients' Parliament Meeting, October 13th 2006

Question	Yes	No	
1. Was the object of today's meeting clear?	11	0	
2. Were you provided with enough background information to take part in the meeting?	9	2	
3. How easy did you find it to contribute to the meeting?	<u>Less easy than expected</u> 1	<u>As expected</u> 9	<u>More easy than expected</u> 1
4. Did you find it difficult to get to the venue today?	2	9	
5. Were the refreshments provided:	<u>Very Good</u> 8	<u>Satisfactory</u> 3	<u>Poor</u>
Suggestions for Improvement - Catering, Room and Location	Suggestions for Improvement – and comments		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lunch was a bit rushed. Kindly label food trays. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Sound system could be better.</u> Speakers – speech needs to be louder, slower and clearer. Explain pre speaking abbreviations and so on. Outside presenters must provide brief of subject – overhead slider, copier etc. Don't think <u>the microphone</u> was really working. Since we are being trained to communicate, perhaps we could all be counseled individually on where we fall down – some members speak too quietly or swallow their words. Needed hand out and slides regarding dental talk by Fiona Erne and any speaker in the future. Chair and team always friendly and helpful. <u>Better acoustics.</u> <u>P.A. system was most ineffective.</u> P.A. system was some use, but needs at least a roving unit. <u>Better all have mikes.</u> Speaker to provide hand outs. <u>Audio system not good enough.</u> Room needs to be warmer/smaller size with lower ceiling to avoid echo. 		